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**abstract**

Twenty four years ago I have proposed a model of “social becoming”, i.e. transformation of society by society, from below (*Society in Action; The Theory of Social Becoming*, Cambridge 1991, Polity Press). The model was general but it was inspired by the breakdown of communism and post-communist developments in Eastern and Central Europe. The main claim was that social change at a macro-historical scale is a product of human agency, i.e. the micro-practices of the people acting in their everyday life within constraints and facilitations provided by inherited institutions, organizations and structural arrangements, in turn the products of earlier practices. The crucial notion of agency, the driving force of change was left unspecified except for indicating that it is a creative potential which may manifest itself at the level of charismatic leaders, actors empowered by the statuses and roles, and masses of common people.

The book I am completing now at SCAS titled “Interpersonal Space; the Anatomy and Functions of Social Relations” is a long delayed sequel to the earlier one, attempting to go further in the explication of the mechanism through which agency-driven change occurs in society. The main claim is that the agency is located in the network of relations among the people. Not in the actors themselves but in-between them. Hence the central concept of interpersonal space is introduced, as either an individual, unique set of important others with whom an individual is linked by social ties, or the network of ties characteristic for social groups, communities or wider society. All that is human in us is taken from other people, the changing social convoy surrounding us from birth to death. We are
whom we have met in life. And all that we do, our practices are usually taken together with others, side by side with others, against others, in cooperation, competition or conflict. Our personalities and our attitudes are the product of our interpersonal space. The same is true of human groups, communities and societies; their cohesiveness and potential to act is the outcome of the type of relations linking members together. The most important for the transforming potential (agency) is the network of moral relations i.e. the quality of moral space. By moral social ties I mean the following six: trust, loyalty, reciprocity, solidarity, justice and respect. The moral space is a precondition for innovative, bold actions by the individuals. It is equally a precondition for the successful collective actions of human collectivities; teams, associations, social movements etc.

The interpersonal space in action, when put to use, turns into a social capital, an individual or collective intangible resource whose importance is often bigger than that of the tangible material goods. The most valuable resource is derived from the moral space, which once used turns into a moral capital. Individuals rich in the social and particularly moral capital have best chances for self-realization and reaching their life goals. Societies rich in the social capital and particularly moral capital are shown to be successful in economy, democratic governance, as well as the level of well-being of the citizens. Such societies are most adaptable to changing circumstances, resilient to adverse events, and are themselves able to be involved in creative, transforming collective actions.